

CIB Marine Bancshares, Inc. (the “Company”) held its Annual Shareholder Meeting on Thursday, May 25, 2017. The final vote results for those proposals presented to shareholders at the meeting are presented below. For additional details about each proposal, please see CIB Marine Bancshares, Inc.’s Proxy Statement dated April 19, 2017, which is available on our website: [www.cibmarine.com](http://www.cibmarine.com).

<b><u>Eligible Votes</u></b>	<b><u>Voted Total</u></b>
19,012,207	13,994,556 (73.61%)

**Proposal 1 – Election of Directors**

<b>Nominee Name</b>	<b><u>For</u></b>	<b><u>Withheld</u></b>
Willard Bunn III	11,103,047	543,961
Gary L. Longman	10,798,943	848,065
Steven C. Palmitier	11,049,681	597,327

**Proposal 2** – Proposal to approve an amendment to the Company’s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to modify the rights and preferences of the Company’s Series A and Series B preferred stock, subject to the further approval of the preferred shareholders in a subsequent vote.

**For:** 10,826,031  
**Against:** 762,449  
**Abstain:** 58,528

**Proposal 3** – Advisory, non-binding proposal with respect to the adoption of a Net Operating Loss Rights Plan, intended to protect the Company’s net operating loss carryforwards (“NOLs”) against changes in share ownership that could limit the Company’s ability to use the NOLs in the future.

**For:** 9,800,793  
**Against:** 1,730,293  
**Abstain:** 115,922

**Proposal 4** – Proposal to ratify the appointment of Crowe Horwath LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017.

**For:** 13,717,370  
**Against:** 233,803  
**Abstain:** 43,383

Note: Under the rules of various national and regional securities exchanges, brokers may generally exercise their discretion to vote on routine matters if the beneficial owner does not instruct them how to vote on such matters. Proposals 1, 2 and 3 were not considered to be routine matters and, therefore, could not be voted on by brokers without instruction from beneficial owners. Proposal 4, however, was considered to be a routine matter, and brokers were able to cast votes without specific instruction from beneficial owners.